

Issue | Background | Findings | Conclusions | Recommendations | Responses | Attachments

Red Light Camera Increases Safety

Issue

Are photo enforcement red light cameras in Redwood City effective as traffic safety devices?

Background

Several cities throughout San Mateo County, including Menlo Park, Millbrae, Redwood City, and San Mateo, are currently using photo enforcement red light cameras (cameras). The Grand Jury chose to study one city, Redwood City.

Cameras are automated traffic safety devices that record the license plate of any vehicle that has entered an intersection on a red light in violation of the California Vehicle Code Section 21453 (Code). A Redwood City police officer reviews the recording to determine whether there has been a vehicle code violation. If a violation has been recorded, the officer issues a citation via mail to the registered owner of the vehicle. Subject to a modification by a court, the current penalty set by the State of California is \$378.50 plus a point against the vehicle owner's record, who is presumed to be the driver, unless the citation is contested.

After a traffic light changes to red, a vehicle crossing the limit line of an intersection is in violation of the Code. A citation for this type of violation is issued if the following four photos are captured: the driver's face; the vehicle traveling through the intersection; the vehicle and the signal light; and the rear license plate of the vehicle. (Attachment 1)

Various newspaper articles have suggested that cameras have been installed as a revenue source for cities. The General Fund of Redwood City receives approximately 34% of the fine. The fine is currently \$378.50.

Redwood City has 85 intersections controlled by traffic signal lights. Redwood City has exclusive jurisdiction over 58 of the intersections. Caltrans has jurisdiction over the remaining 27 intersections, because of placement along State routes (e.g., Woodside Road and El Camino Real).

The Redwood City Police Department (RWCPD) compiled data of the number of collisions that occurred at those intersections over a five-year period from January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2005. The data also included the number of collisions involving vehicles that entered the intersections in violation of the red light traffic laws. The data was presented to the Redwood City City Council (Council) with the request to install cameras. Since public safety is of paramount importance to the Council, it granted approval in 2007. (Attachment 2)

The RWCPD installed a camera at one intersection under its exclusive jurisdiction. The camera is located in the eastbound direction of Whipple Avenue at Veterans Boulevard. During the five-year period mentioned above, there were 76 collisions, of which 64 involved vehicles traveling eastbound on Whipple Avenue. The camera started capturing violations in February 2008. Violators were given warnings for 30 days prior to citations being issued, complying with the Code.

Investigation

To conduct its investigation, the 2008-2009 San Mateo Civil Grand Jury interviewed officials from the Redwood City City Council and the Redwood City Police Department. The Grand Jury reviewed the minutes of the Redwood City City Council; read newspaper articles published in the *San Mateo Daily News*, the *Oakland Tribune*, *San Francisco Examiner*, and the *San Mateo Daily Journal*; reviewed California Codes relating to photo enforcement red light camera citations, specifically the Government, Penal, and Vehicle Codes; read articles posted on public websites; and visited the intersection to note configuration and signage.

Consideration as to the potential financial stream from the fines paid for these violations was considered. However, due to insufficient data related to the program's income and expenses, discussion was limited solely to whether the photo enforcement camera increased traffic safety at the one intersection in Redwood City.

Findings

The 2008-2009 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury found that:

- Redwood City currently has one camera. The RWCPD also requested approval from Caltrans
 for installation of cameras at three Caltrans intersections which have a history of frequent
 collisions involving red light violations.
- Currently, there is no photo enforcement notification sign alerting traffic traveling eastbound on Whipple Avenue towards Veterans Boulevard. There are signs posted at all major entry points into Redwood City, as well as, southbound Veterans Boulevard before and after the intersection at Whipple Avenue. There is a pole on eastbound Whipple Avenue that appears to have sufficient space for the addition of a sign notifying drivers that the intersection is monitored by a camera.

- The RWCPD initiated notification to the public of the installation of the camera through a press release that was issued on February 14, 2008 to the *Redwood City Daily News*, the *San Mateo County Times*, and the *San Francisco Examiner*. It was also distributed to television stations.
- The citation sent to the address of the registered vehicle owner contains the necessary information as seen in Attachment 1, and an internet site URL with a personal password allowing the vehicle owner to view the film clip of the violation.
- There is no public educational material on the RWCPD website related to the red light photo enforcement program.
- In the initial six months of the photo enforcement red light traffic camera's (camera) operation, the RWCPD confirmed there were 527 red light violations issued, and only one collision on eastbound Whipple Avenue. Prior to the camera installation, there was an average of six accidents every six months from 2001 through 2005.

Conclusions

The 2008-2009 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury concludes that:

- The Redwood City City Council decision to install the camera on the eastbound direction of Whipple Avenue was supported by data collected by the RWCPD.
- The RWCPD technically has complied with the California Vehicle Code by posting the required photo enforcement signage at major entry points to Redwood City. However, drivers heading eastbound on Whipple Avenue are not being warned that the intersection is monitored.
- The RWCPD provided sufficient notification to the public of the installation of the camera.
- In spite of the fact that drivers who violate the traffic light law are not stopped immediately after the violation, the drivers are given clear evidence documenting the violation.
- The RWCPD does not provide on its web page a link providing general information to the public about the red light photo enforcement program. Such information would be beneficial in helping the public understand the program.
- In the six months since the operation of the photo enforcement red light camera, the number of collisions that occurred at the intersection of Whipple Avenue and Veterans Boulevard has decreased.

Recommendations

The 2008-2009 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury recommends that the Redwood City City Council:

- 1. Continue to move forward with the installation of photo enforcement red light cameras in accordance with the Redwood City Police Department's (RWCPD) analysis of the number of collisions that support the installation of these lights.
- 2. Install a photo enforcement camera notification sign alerting traffic traveling eastbound on Whipple Avenue approaching Veterans Boulevard.
- 3. Continue the practice of widespread public notice of activation of new automated red light photo enforcement cameras at intersections.
- 4. Continue to provide clear evidence to registered vehicle owners being cited for photo enforcement camera violations.
- 5. Consider expanding the RWCPD web-site to include public education about the photo enforcement camera notification system.
- 6. Develop an annual review process which compares the number of collisions pre- and post-installation of the photo enforcement camera. Determine whether the equipment is serving as an effective deterrent and whether additional safety features should be implemented.

Attachment #1 - Sample Ticket

| NOTICE | to APPEAR | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Redwood City Po | | nt | | | | | |
| NOTICE TO APPEAR | • | A – Picture of vehicle and the signal light | | | | | |
| DATE OF VIOLATION | TIME | EMOIOGINE | HIL (CI | TATION NO} | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| NAME (FIRST, MIDDLE, LAST, |) | | | | | | |
| ADDRESS | | | | | | | |
| СПУ | STATE | | | | | | |
| DRIVER LIC. NO. ST | ATE CLASS | COMMERCIA | L AGE | BIRTH DATE | | | |
| SEX HAIR EYES | HEIGHT | WEIGHT | l | 1 | | | |
| VEH. LIC.NO. ST | IATE | 0.00 | DOMERCIAL VEHICLE LCode, § 15210(b)) | | B – Picture of vehicle traveling through | | |
| | | (Vah.Code, | | | intersection | | |
| YR. OF VEH. MAKE | BODY STYLE | | HAZARDOUS MATERIAL Noh. Code, § 353) | | | | |
| REGISTERED OWNER OR LE | SSEE | | | | | | |
| ADDRESS | ··· | * ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| спу | STATE | | ZIP CODE | | | | |
| CODE AND SECTION | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION OF VIOLATION Clip/County of Occurrence Al Mento Park / San Mateo | | | | | C – Picture of rear license plate of vehicle | | |
| BY VIOLATION WAS NOT COMMITTED IN MY PRESENCE. THE ABOVE IS DECLARED ON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AND IS BASED ON PROTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE. | | | | | | | |
| I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PL IS TRUE AND CORRECT. | | OF THE STATE | OF CALIFORN | IA THE FOREGOING | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | |
| DATE ISSUED DECLARANT SIGNATURE ID NO. | | | | | | | |
| YOU MUST RESPOND TO THE C | XXXXX CRE | | | | | | |
| WHEN: Date | e: at | 8:30AM | Mon | rk's Office Hours iday Friday | | | |
| | | | 6.30 |) AM - 5:00 PM | | | |
| WHAT TO DO: FOL | LOW THE INSTRUCTION | ONS ON THE F | REVERSE | | | | |
| | | | | | D – Picture of Driver's face | | |
| WHERE: Juvenile Traffic Court County of Sen Mateo 222 Paul Scannell Drive Sen Mateo, CA 94402 Phone: 650-312-6667 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Judicial Council of California Form SEE REVERSE Rev. 09-20-05 (Veh. Code, § 40518) TR-115 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ertificate of Mail | ing | | | | 7 | | |
| frame_of_mailer), of Redflex Traffic Systems Inc. 15020 North 74th Street, Scottadale, Arizontity that I am over 18 years old and not a party to the above critical case. On (Print Date lotice to Appear in an envelope addressed to the registered ourser or lesses as shown above, sposited the envelope in a United States Postal Service receptable located at the Appent United service office at Scottadale, Arizona. In the ordinary course of business, the envelope is seale exper postage and mailed. I declare under the panelty of perjury under the laws of the State of he foregoing is true and correct. | | | | | | | |
| alect | • | Signature of | Mailer | | | | |
| | | | | vii Procedure 101 | 34 | | |

Important - Read Carefully

THIS CITATION IS BASED ON PHOTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE

The vehicle identified on the front was photographed in violation of a traffic signal. You may see the photographs and video. Contact: Redwood City Police 650-780-7179. If you were not driving the vehicle at the time of the violation either contact the Redwood City Park Police Department or complete the enclosed "Affidevit of Non-Liability" form to identify the driver and return as requested.

WHAT TO DO

You have been issued a citation that charges you with a traffic intraction. You must respond by following one of the procedures below by the date on the front (see "WHEN"). If you do not, you may lose your license to drive, and your money penalties may increase.

1. If you do NOT context the violation
a. (Pay the helf amount) (See "BAIL INFORMATION" below) Your bail will be forfeited to the court. You will not have to appear in court. You will be convicted of the violation, and it will appear on your record at the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). A point count will be charged to your DMV record for this offerse and your insurance may be adversely affected.
b. (Traditic acknow) You may be able to avoid the point count and adverse affect on your insurance by attending traffic school. Contact the count to request traffic school. You must pay the bail amount, and you may have to pay other fees.
2. If you context the violation (select eve)
a. (Count trial) Send a certified or registered letter not later than five days prior to the appearance date, or come to the court by the appearance date to request a court trial on a future date when an officer and witnesses will be present. You will be required to submit the bail amount. You will be given a date for your trial.

be given a date for your trial.

b. (Trial by written declaration) Send a certified or registered letter postmarked not later than five days prior to the appearance date, or come to the court on or before the date on the front and request a trial by written declaration. Submit the ball amount. You will be given forms to allow you to write a statement and submit other evidence will out appearing in court. An officer will also submit a statement. The judicial officer will consider all of the evidence at the same time and decide the case.

WRITING TO THE COURT

If you write to the court, always write the citation number and your driver license number on your letter. Use of certified or registered mail is required. Do set send your capy of the citation. Keep it for VOLET OWN PROCEEDS.

BAIL INFORMATION

The "bail" is the amount you must pay or deposit for the charged violation.

Bail Amount: You will receive a courteey notice from the San Mateo Superior Court with the bail amount and further instructions. If you do not receive this notice within 21 days please contact the

Make the check or money order payable to *The Clerk of the Court.* Write the citation number and your driver license number on your check or money order. You may deposit the beil in person or by mail or the email address listed below.

MIGHT COURT TRIALS are not available for this citation.

JUVENILES

If you are under 18, you must be accompanied by your parent or guardian when you appear in court. Bring this citation and your driver license.

ONE SHE SHEORSMATION

You may obtain additional information at:

Attachment 2
Excerpt from the report from the Redwood City Police Department to the Redwood City Mayor and City Council dated May 22, 2006

| HIGHEST REPORTED ACCIDENT INTERSECTIONS – ALL TYPES | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------------|-------|----------------------------------|------------|-------|--|--|--|
| INTERSECTION | All Tra | ffic Accidents | 3 | Red Light Violation Accidents | | | | | |
| | Injury | Non-injury | Total | Injury | Non-Injury | Total | | | |
| Woodside - Broadway | 47 | 70 | 117 | 12 | 15 | 27 | | | |
| Woodside – Middlefield | 36 | 44 | 80 | 3 | 6 | 9 | | | |
| Veterans – Whipple | 30 | 46 | 76 | 6 | 6 | 12 | | | |
| El Camino – Jefferson | 29 | 42 | 71 | 3 | 5 | 8 | | | |
| El Camino – Brewster | 26 | 36 | 62 | 5 | 6 | 11 | | | |
| El Camino – Whipple | 30 | 30 | 60 | 6 | 6 | 12 | | | |
| Woodside – Veterans | 25 | 34 | 59 | 6 | 11 | 17 | | | |
| Jefferson – Hudson | 15 | 12 | 27 | 7 | 7 | 14 | | | |
| TOP 5 INTERSECTIONS WITH RED LIGHT VIOLATION ACCIDENTS | | | | | | | | | |
| WOODSIDE – BROADWAY | 47 | 70 | 117 | 12 | 15 | 27 | | | |
| JEFFERSON - BROADWAY | 33 | 31 | 64 | 16 | 16 | 32 | | | |
| WOODSIDE – VETERANS | 25 | 34 | 59 | 6 | 11 | 17 | | | |
| JEFFERSON – HUDSON | 15 | 12 | 27 | 7 | 7 | 14 | | | |
| WINSLOW – BREWSTER | 10 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 7 | 14 | | | |

| Total number of red light violation citations issued from 1/1/01 to 12/31/05: | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Total number of accidents from 1/1/01 to 12/31/05: | | | | |
| Total number of those accidents that resulted in non-fatal injuries: | | | | |
| Total number of those accidents that resulted in fatal injuries: | | | | |
| Total number of those that were non-injury: | | | | |
| Total number of accidents from 1/1/01 to 12/31/05 caused by red light violations: | | | | |
| Total number of accidents resulted in non-fatal injuries: | | | | |
| Total number of those accidents that resulted in fatal injuries: | | | | |
| Total number of those that were non-injury: | | | | |
| Total percentage of red light violation accidents from 1/1/01 to 12/31/05: | | | | |

Louis A. Cobarruviaz Chief of Police



1301 Maple Street Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone (650) 780-7122 FAX Line (650) 780-7149

May 19, 2009

Honorable George A. Miram Judge of the Superior Court Hall of Justice 400 County Center; 2nd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

Dear Judge Miram,

On March 12, 2009, the Redwood City Council received the San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury report titled "Red Light Camera Increases Safety." The report contained six "findings" and six "recommendations."

The Redwood City Council was requested to submit comments within 90 days to your Honor. Specifically, Council was requested to submit the following:

For the six "findings," Council was to indicate one of the following:

- 1. Council agrees with the finding.
- 2. Council disagrees wholly or partially with the finding, in which case the response shall specify the portion of the finding that is disputed, and shall include an explanation of the reasons therefore.

Additionally, for the Grand Jury's "recommendations," Council was requested to report one of the following actions:

- 1. The recommendation has been implemented, with a summary regarding the implemented action.
- 2. The recommendation has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future, with a time frame for implementation.
- 3. The recommendation requires further analysis, with an explanation and the scope and parameters of an analysis or study, and a time frame for the matter to be prepared for discussion by the officer or director of the agency or department being investigated or reviewed, including the governing body of the public agency when applicable. This time frame shall not exceed six months from the date of publication of the Grand Jury report.

Louis A. Cobarruviaz
Chief of Police



1301 Maple Street Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone (650) 780-7122 FAX Line (650) 780-7149

4. The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, with an explanation therefore.

The City Council has authorized me to present the City's response to the Court. The Redwood City Council at its meeting of May 18, 2009 approved the responses to the findings and recommendations.

FINDINGS

Finding #1

Redwood City currently has one camera. The RWCPD also requested approval from Caltrans for installation of cameras at three Caltrans intersections which have a history of frequent collisions involving red light violations.

Response

The City agrees partially with the finding. The police department has requested approval for two additional camera systems at Caltrans intersections. The department is planning to add a third camera system at a non-Caltrans intersection.

Finding #2

Currently, there is no photo enforcement notification sign alerting traffic traveling eastbound on Whipple Avenue towards Veterans Boulevard. There are signs posted at all major entry points into Redwood City, as well as, southbound Veterans Boulevard before and after the intersection at Whipple Avenue. There is a pole on eastbound Whipple Avenue that appears to have sufficient space for the addition of a sign notifying drivers that the intersection is monitored by a camera.

Response

The City agrees partially with the finding. There is no sign alerting traffic traveling eastbound on Whipple Avenue towards Veterans Boulevard. There are signs posted at all major entry points into Redwood City, including, at a minimum, freeways, bridges, and state highway routes (16 total), as well as on southbound Veterans Boulevard north of Whipple Avenue.

The sign on Veterans Boulevard south of Whipple Avenue was removed as it was originally placed there in error. It has been moved to westbound Whipple Avenue east of Veterans Boulevard.

Louis A. Cobarruviaz Chief of Police



1301 Maple Street Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone (650) 780-7122 FAX Line (650) 780-7149

21455.5 (a) (1) of the California Vehicle Code states that the governmental agency may maintain an automated traffic enforcement system if it identifies the system by signs that clearly indicate the system's presence and are visible to traffic approaching from all directions, or posts signs at all major entrances to the city, including, at a minimum, freeways, bridges, and state highway routes.

Council agrees that there is a pole on eastbound Whipple Avenue that appears to have sufficient space for the addition of a sign notifying drivers that the intersection is monitored by a camera. However, the law does not require a sign at that location.

Finding #3

The RWCPD initiated notification to the public of the installation of the camera through a press release that was issued on February 14, 2008 to the Redwood City Daily News, the San Mateo County Times, and the San Francisco Examiner. It was also distributed to television stations.

Response

The City agrees with the finding.

Finding #4

The citation sent to the address of the registered vehicle owner contains the necessary information as seen in Attachment 1, and an internet site URL with a personal password allowing the vehicle owner to view the film clip of the violation.

Response

The City agrees with the finding.

Finding #5

There is no public educational material on the RWCPD website related to the red light photo enforcement program.

Response

The City agrees with the finding.

Finding #6

In the initial six months of the photo enforcement red light traffic camera's (camera) operation, the RWCPD confirmed there were 527 red light violations issued, and only one collision on eastbound Whipple Avenue. Prior to the camera

Louis A. Cobarruviaz
Chief of Police



1301 Maple Street Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone (650) 780-7122 FAX Line (650) 780-7149

installation, there was an average of six accidents every six months from 2001 through 2005.

Response

The City agrees partially with the finding. RWCPD was in error when it reported 527 citations issued during the first six months of operation. There were actually 526 citations issued. In addition, prior to the camera installation, there was an average of seven accidents every six months from 2001 through 2005 at that intersection.

The City agrees there was only one collision on eastbound Whipple Avenue because of a red-light violation during the first six months of the camera's operation.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation #1

Continue to move forward with the installation of photo enforcement red light cameras in accordance with the Redwood City Police Department's (RWCPD) analysis of the number of collisions that support the installation of these lights.

Response

The recommendation is being implemented. The city is moving forward with the installation of Photo Red Light Enforcement Systems at three additional approaches/intersections based on analysis of the number of collisions at those approaches/intersections. The City expects the systems to be installed by the end of calendar year 2009.

Recommendation #2

Install a photo enforcement camera notification sign alerting traffic traveling eastbound on Whipple Avenue approaching Veterans Boulevard.

Response

The recommendation will not be implemented because it is not warranted.

21455.5 (a) (1) of the California Vehicle Code states that the governmental agency may maintain an automated traffic enforcement system if it identifies the system by signs that clearly indicate the system's presence and are visible to traffic approaching from all directions, or posts signs at all major entrances to the city, including, at a minimum, freeways, bridges, and state highway routes.

Louis A. Cobarruviaz
Chief of Police



1301 Maple Street Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone (650) 780-7122 FAX Line (650) 780-7149

There are signs posted at all major entry points into Redwood City, including, at a minimum, freeways, bridges, and state highway routes (16 total).

Recommendation #3

Continue the practice of widespread public notice of activation of new automated red light photo enforcement cameras at intersections.

Response

The recommendation is not yet implemented, but will be implemented in the future as additional systems are activated. Three more systems are expected to be installed by the end of calendar year 2009.

Recommendation #4

Continue to provide clear evidence to registered vehicle owners being cited for photo enforcement camera violations.

Response

The recommendation has been implemented. As indicated in Finding #4, a citation sent to the address of the registered vehicle owner contains the necessary information as seen in Attachment 1 of the Grand Jury report, and an internet site URL with a personal password allows the vehicle owner to view the film clip of the violation.

Recommendation #5

Consider expanding the RWCPD web-site to include public education about the photo enforcement camera notification system.

Response

The recommendation has not been implemented, but will be implemented in the next 60 days.

Recommendation #6

Develop an annual review process which compares the number of collisions preand post installation of the photo enforcement camera. Determine whether the equipment is serving as an effective deterrent and whether additional safety features should be implemented.

Louis A. Cobarruviaz
Chief of Police



1301 Maple Street Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone (650) 780-7122 FAX Line (650) 780-7149

Response

The recommendation has not been implemented. However, steps will be put into place within the next 30 days that will allow an annual review to take place.

On behalf of the Redwood City Council, I would like to thank the Grand Jury for their interest and work on this report. If there is additional information that I can supply, please do not hesitate to ask.

\$incerely,

Louis A. Cobarruviaz

Chief of Police